

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,166	04/24/2001	Katsuhisa Ogawa	35.C15320	3421	
5514	7590 06/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ICK CELLA HARPE	JANVIER, JEAN D			
+	ELLER PLAZA K. NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- ,		3622		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/840,166	OGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jean Janvier	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
·—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , ,	· .				
· _	lication					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement					
	and/or election requirement.	·				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have beer sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application.No received in this National i	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Non-Responsive Amendment

Under 37 CFR 1.111, the Applicant's response to the Office Action should be complete and must address all the issues raised by the Examiner. In the last Office Action, the Examiner, in the Claim Objections section, stated that "after independent claim 1, all dependent claims should refer to The expendable supplies providing method instead of An expendable supplies providing method...". However, in the current response, the Applicant has failed to amend the dependent claims as suggested. Applicant is herein given one month to respond to this correspondence.

Furthermore, in reply to the Applicant's response, please find the following-

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to an advertisement management step for managing advertisement information, a distribution step for generating distribution information, an update step for updating a print point and a determination step for determining whether or not expendable supplies should be provided.
- II. Claims 15-26, drawn to a method of and a system for reading supplies lists indicating a plurality of kinds of expendable supplies and a consumption point required for providing each item of expendable supplies to generate, in HTML format, expendable supplies provision information including said expendable supplies lists and said obtained print point and for transmitting said generated expendable item provision information to a client user via the Internet.

Art Unit: 3622

11 Omi. 3022

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. The subcombination has separate utility such as reading supplies lists indicating a plurality of kinds of expendable supplies and a consumption point required for providing each item of expendable supplies to generate, in HTML format, expendable supplies provision information including said expendable supplies lists and said obtained print point and for transmitting said generated expendable item provision information to a client user via the Internet.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

*Application/Control Number: 09/840,166

Art Unit: 3622

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve

Page 4

a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated

as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to

Jean D. Janvier, whose telephone number is (571) 272-6719. The aforementioned can normally

be reached Monday-Thursday from 10:00AM to 6:00 PM EST. If attempts to reach the Examiner

by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Eric W. Stamber, can be reached

at (571) 272-6724.

Non-Official- 571-273-6719.

Official Draft: 571-273-8300

JDJ

06/23/06

Jean D. Janvier

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3622